

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/043,629	01/10/2002	Wolfgang Ernst Gustav Budach	4-31016B/N1	7212	
20350	7590 10/29/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			CONNOLLY, PATRICK J		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application N .		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/043,629		BUDACH ET AL.			
		Examin r		Art Unit			
		Patrick J Connoll	у	2877			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Ex - aft - if t - if t - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howey within the statutory mir will apply and will expire to, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim timum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.5	September 2003	,				
2a)[] This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.				
3)⊡ Dispos	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under ition of Claims						
· _	Claim(s) <u>19-25,27,28 and 30-36</u> is/are pendin	g in the application	on.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-25,27,28 and 30-36</u> is/are rejected	d .					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.				
Applica	ition Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[\(\sum_	The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or	b) objected to I	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.			
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	· -	tion.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n prionty under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).			
ε	ı)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09609846</u> .						
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	-			
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachme	ent(s)						
2) 🔲 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) rice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/043,629

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 19-25, 27-28 and 30-36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 and 23-39 of copending Application No. 09/609,846. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '846 are broader in scope and therefore already anticipate the subject matter of the currently pending claims.

As to claims 19 and 31, the limitations of claim 1 of '846 include:

a platform for use in sample analysis comprising an optically transparent substrate having multiple refractive indices, multiple corrugated structures with periodic grooves defining multiple sensing areas, said grooves being profiled or oriented such that coherent light or coherent linearly polarized light incident on the platform is diffracted into individual beams or orders, generating an enhanced evanescent field and detecting the luminescence.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,629

Art Unit: 2877

The limitations of this apparatus are such that the method of using the apparatus would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claims 20, 21 and 35, the limitations of claim 17 and 23 of '846 include detecting means for detecting fluorescence. These apparatus limitations are such that the method of using the apparatus in combination with fluorescent markers and materials would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claim 22, these are well known luminescent markers and it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use them in conjunction with the apparatus of '846.

As to claim 23 and 34, TM excitation is a well-known mode of excitation for substances. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to arrange the light beam of claim 1 of '846 to give rise to TM excitation.

As to claims 24, 27 and 32, the limitations of claim 14 of '846 include the light being made incident to the substrate side of the platform.

As to claims 25, 28 and 33, the limitations of claim 1 of '846 include the light being made incident to the corrugated high refractive index side of the platform.

As to claims 30 and 36, the limitations of claim 39 of '846 include making the enhanced evanescent field interact with luminescent material on or in the vicinity of the first sensing area so as to produce a detectable luminescent signal.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,629

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J Connolly whose telephone number is 703.305.4397. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am-5.30 pm ... Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 703.308.4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0956.

pjc 95c

Frank G. Fon: Supervisory Patent Examine-Vachaulogy Center 2800

Frank & Fort

Page 4